

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/056,005		01/28/2002	Shuichi Karino	8013-1002	2094	
466	7590	02/21/2006		EXAMINER		
	G & THOM TH 23RD S		DYKE, KERRI M			
2ND FLC		TREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLING	TON, VA	22202	2667			
			DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<u> </u>			
		Application No		Applicant(s)				
		10/056,005		KARINO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kerri M. Dyke		2667				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cove	r sheet with the co	orrespondence address	5			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 136(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire e, cause the application	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this commun of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 F</u>	ebruary 2006.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22,25-27,30-32 and 35-92</u> is/are po	ending in the app	lication.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) 22,25-27,30-32 and 35-92 is/are allo	wed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,10-15 and 17-21</u> is/are rejected	d.						
7)🖾	Claim(s) 2,9 and 16 is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)□ ot	ojected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be hele	d in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note th	e attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been rec	eived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			ed in this National Stag	je			
	application from the International Burea	· ·	• • • •					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified o	opies not receive:	d.				
Attachmei	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) [atent Application (PTO-152	·)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/13/04</u> .	6)] Other:					

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see page 30, filed 02/01/2006, with respect to claims 2, 9, and 16 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 2, 9, and 16 has been withdrawn.

- 2. Applicant's arguments, see page 30, filed 02/01/2006, with respect to claims 23, 28, and 33 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of claims 23, 28, and 33 has been withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's arguments, see pages 31-33, filed 02/01/2006, with respect to claims 22-92 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 22-92 has been withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments, see pages 29-31, filed 02/01/2006, with respect to claims 1, 3-8, 10-15, and 17-21 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Fowler discloses a preferred time period of one second in column 1 lines 53-56. Independent claims 1, 8, and 15 indicate only that the excess packets are not sent within the current time period. The limitations do not forbid the packets from being sent during a later time period provided there are no excess packets during that time period. The rejection of claims 1, 3-8, 10-15, and 17-21, below, is copied from the office action of 11/15/2005 and has been amended to include the clarifications given above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2667

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-8, 10-11, 13-15, 17-18, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sauer et al. (WO 00/72485, provided by applicant) in view of Fowler (US 5,793,978, provided by the applicant).
- 7. In regards to claims 1, 8, and 15, Sauer et al. disclose a communication network comprising: a host network; a plurality of base stations; at least a mobile host capable of establishing links to said base stations (Figure 5); and multiple-point routings between said base stations and said host network, and said routers including at least a page-area managing router for managing at least a page a hierarchy-network of plural routers providing area for recording said mobile host (Page 8 line 18), wherein said page-area managing router limits, within a predetermined number, the number of transfer-acceptable packets in packets received in a predetermined managing router transfers only said ordinate routers managed by said time period (page 6 line 10). Sauer et al. does not disclose so that said page-area transfer-acceptable packets to sub- page-area managing router, and said Page-area managing router does not transfer exceeding transfer-requested packets received additionally to said transfer-acceptable packets in said predetermined time period.

Fowler discloses a system for routing packets by separating packets in to broadcast and nonbroadcast packets and limiting the resources for the broadcast packets (column 1 lines 41-52). A preferred time period of one second is disclosed in column 1 lines 53-56.

Art Unit: 2667

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the packet limiting feature of Fowler to the communication network of Sauer et al. in order to conserve resources as taught by Fowler in column 1 lines 37-39.

- 8. In regards to claims 3, 10, and 17, Sauer et al. and Fowler disclose the inventions of claims 1, 8, and 15 wherein said page-area managing router is capable of optionally setting said predetermined number for said transfer-acceptable packets (column 1 lines 52-55).
- 9. In regards to claims 4, 11, and 18, Sauer et al. and Fowler disclose the inventions of claims 3, 10, and 17 wherein said predetermined number is a natural number. The number inherently is a natural number. The limit cannot be a fraction of bit, because a fraction of a bit cannot be made. Even if the limit is set to a fraction of a byte, it translates into an integer amount of bits.
- 10. In regards to claims 6-7, 13-14, and 20-21, Sauer et al. and Fowler disclose the inventions of claims 1, 8, and 15 wherein said page-are managing router transmits, through said host network to a caller a second packet-transmission suppression request which requests said caller to widen a time interval of discontinuous transmission of said packets. Fowler also teaches wherein said page-area managing router transmits, through said host network to a caller, a second packet-transmission suppression request which request said caller to stop transmission of said packet until said predetermined time period has passed, and re-start said packet transmission thereafter. These are windowing functions, which are inherent in TCP/IP protocol.

Application/Control Number: 10/056,005

Art Unit: 2667

- 11. Claims 5, 12, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sauer et al. (WO 00/72485) in view of Fowler (US 5,793,978) further in view of Allen, Jr. et al. (US 6,169,735).
- 12. In regards to claims 5, 12, and 19, Sauer et al. and Fowler disclose the inventions of claims 1, 8, and 15, but not wherein said page-area managing router is capable of optionally setting said predetermined time period.

Allen, Jr. et al. discloses setting the time period in column 10 lines 51-53. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow the time period to be optionally set, as taught by Allen, Jr. et al. within the communication system of Sauer et al. and Fowler et al. because allowing the operator the ability to set the time period gives the operator control over bandwidth efficiency as taught by Allen, Jr. et al. in column 10 lines 50-58.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. Claims 22, 25-27, 30-32, and 35-92 are allowed.
- 14. Claims 2, 9, and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

15. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2667

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kerri M. Dyke whose telephone number is (571) 272-0542. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kmd

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Chow T. Nfier